

EBS Universität für Wirtschaft und Recht Gustav-Stresemann-Ring 3 65189 Wiesbaden www.ebs.edu

EBS Universität · Gustav-Stresemann-Ring 3 · 65189 Wiesbaden

Prof. Rasa Karapandza confidential

Report: Course Evaluation

Dear Prof. Karapandza,

this report contains the results of the automated evaluation of the course MSC Asset Pricing.

The global quality indicator displayed on the next page comprises the following two questionnaire dimensions:

Course (Scale width: 5) Lecturer (Scale width: 5)

(please note: The question concerning the workload is scaled differently and is therefore not included in the indicator.)

The report's second part lists statistical information on all individual questions. The value 1 represents maximum quality, the value 5 minimum quality - from a student perspective. You may examine the results of the evaluation using the different statistical measures provided, such as averages, standard deviations, histograms etc. Finally, this report provides the student responses to the open-ended questions for further information.


We have singled out and highlighted the results of two crucial questions. Any value no higher than the current Business School average value is marked 'green', the following 25% of answers are marked 'yellow' and the remaining are marked 'red'.


Yours sincerely,


Thomas Erler Director Education

EBS Business School

FT18 Prof. Rasa Karapandza MSC Asset Pricing (L-FIN4-059) No. of responses = 19







Profile

Subunit: EBS Business School

Name of the instructor: Prof. Rasa Karapandza

Name of the course: MSC Asset Pricing

Name of the course: (Name of the survey)

Comparative line:

Compilation FT18

Values used in the profile line: Mean

Course

5 (fully disagree) The course content was well structured. 1 (fully agree) n=19 n=3552 av.=1.1 md=1,0 md=1.0 dev.=0.2 av =1 8 dev = 1.15 (fully The learning objectives of the course were clearly 1 (fully agree) n=19 n=3542 av.=1,1 av.=1,8 md=1,0 md=1,0 defined disagree) dev.=1,1 The course content was challenging. 1 (fully agree) 5 (fully n=19 av.=1,6 av.=2,0 md=1.0dev = 1.1disagree) n=3549 md=2.0 dev.=1.1 5 (fully The course was well aligned with the learning goals. 1 (fully agree) n=18 n=3526 av.=1,3 av.=1,8 md=1,0 md=1,0 disagree) dev.=1,1 The course was well organised. 1 (fully agree) 5 (fully n=19 md=1,0 md=1,0 dev.=0,5 dev.=1,1 disagree) n=3546 The form of assessment was appropriate for the 5 (fully 1 (fully agree) md=1,0 md=1,0 dev.=0,0 didactical format of the course. disagree) av.=1,0 av.=1,9 n=3427 dev.=1.1 5 (fully Did the course meet your expectation? 1 (fully agree) n=19 md=1,0 md=2,0 dev.=0,6 dev.=1,2 disagree) n=3516 Altogether the course made a contribution in preparing me for my (professional) career. 5 (fully 1 (fully agree) n=19 disagree) av.=1.2 md = 1.0dev.=0.4 n=3462 av.=2.0 md=2.0 dev.=1.2

Workload

Please estimate if you met the 30 hours per ECTS or if you put more or less work into the course (lecture, readings, preparation).



n=19 n=3516

disagree)

av.=1,1 av.=1,7 md=1,0 md=1,0

Lecturer

The lecturer showed full commitment to the course 1 (fully agree) 5 (fully and was highly motivated. disagree) The lecturer presented the material in an interesting and comprehensible fashion. 1 (fully agree) 5 (fully disagree) n=19 n=3528 md=1,0 md=1,0 The lecturer demanded a high degree of academic 5 (fully 1 (fully agree) n=19 n=3500 dev.=0,7 dev.=1,1 excellence from the students disagree) av.=1,4 av.=1,9 The lecturer was always well prepared 1 (fully agree) 5 (fully n=19 n=3512 md=1,0 md=1,0 disagree) 5 (fully The lecturer was knowledgeable in the respective 1 (fully agree) n=19 n=3524 md=1,0 md=1,0 dev.=0,0 dev.=0,9 disagree) av.=1,0 av.=1,5 The lecturer strongly encouraged active class 5 (fully 1 (fully agree) n=19 n=3523 md=1,0 md=1,0 participation. disagree) The lecturer ensured that all questions and concerns were solved quickly. 5 (fully 1 (fully agree) n=19 n=3509 av.=1,1 av.=1,6 md=1,0 md=1,0 dev.=0,3 dev.=1,0 disagree) The lecturer's language skills were sufficient. 1 (fully agree) 5 (fully n=19 n=3526 disagree) Overall, the lecturer did an excellent job. 1 (fully agree) 5 (fully

Profile Line for Indicators

Subunit: **EBS Business School** Name of the instructor: Prof. Rasa Karapandza MSC Asset Pricing

Name of the course: (Name of the survey)

Compilation FT18

Comparative line:

Course (Scale width: 5)

Lecturer (Scale width: 5)



dev.=0,4 dev.=1,1

dev.=0,3 dev.=1,0

Comments Report

General Comments

What did you particularly like/dislike about the course?

- Demanding but excellent approach. I personally learned a lot and especially new things with regards to asset pricing.
- Can you offer more available statistic software instead of Excel ?
- My only reservation is the lack of adjustment of slides available on Professor's website so that they would comply with the smaller amount of classes than initially assumed. Then it would be easier to recap the material covered during class.
- Probably the best lectures i experienced...

The professor knows a loooot and you always leave the lecture with learning benefits. One thing i have concerns:

In my bachelor (financial mathematics, Switzerland) we learned to always do calculus with log returns for Daily, Weekly and Monthly returns... You mentioned that log returns only make sense for intraday data... is there a mathematical rule when to use log returns?

- sandra maldjan rasa are the professors at EBS. But due to the limited sessions, the outcome is not as ideal as originally designed and expected, and this is the biggest problem in master program.
- very good. wish more classes!

22.12.2018 EvaSys Evaluation Page 5